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Sorry, no Monica

She’s got more important things going on!
Planning Argument Based Instruction

Content comes from: NEXT GENERATION SCIENCE STANDARDS
For States, By States

Skills come from: COMMON CORE STATE STANDARDS INITIATIVE
PREPARING AMERICA'S STUDENTS FOR COLLEGE & CAREER

Have a place to choose both from.

WiDA CONSORTIUM
ACCESS for ELLs®

for assessments!
What goes through my mind while planning?

- What’s the argument you want your students to make?

- What data do they need to make an evidence based (reasoned) argument?  

- What skills do you want them to work on while reading or engaged with text?

- How are you going to get them to make a reasoned argument?

- What are you going to have them do at the end for a consequential task?
What’s the argument you want your students to make?

- Gives you the basis for your argument
- Foundation of our beloved CONTENT!

Here’s an example:

**HS-ESS 1.6**

Apply scientific reasoning and evidence from ancient Earth materials, meteorites, and other planetary surfaces to construct an account of Earth’s formation and early history.
How do you think the Moon formed?
What’s the argument you want your students to make?

Apply scientific reasoning and evidence from ancient Earth materials, meteorites, and other planetary surfaces to construct an account of Earth’s formation and early history.

My argument:

How do you think the Moon formed?

1. Their own hypothesis
2. **Other Hypotheses**
3. Their edited hypothesis
Capture Hypothesis

In 1909 an astronomer named Thomas See proposed that the moon was a wandering planet that had been captured by the Earth. The moon got too close to the planet Earth and was pulled into an orbit based on the Earth’s gravitational pull “like a fly in a spider web”.

---

Adapted from: [http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/tothemoon/origins.html](http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/tothemoon/origins.html)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capture</th>
<th>Collision</th>
<th>Co-accretion</th>
<th>Fission</th>
<th>Your Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary of hypothesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data table for students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on their hypotheses and the others

What data would we need to support these hypotheses?

What would need to be true to support this hypothesis?

What data could refute this hypothesis?

What experimental data exists to support/refute this hypothesis?
What data do they need to make an evidence based / reasoned argument?

How do we know what we know?

to support the leading hypothesis AND
to refute the other hypotheses

Moon example:

What data do we have that supports our best understanding of how the moon formed?
What types of texts do I need to build?

Moon example:

- Length of day getting longer
- Composition of bodies
- Density patterns of planets
- Oxygen isotope analysis
- Layers of the planets
- Size of our moon relative to planet
The Giant Impact Hypothesis

Most scientists think that the moon’s development began when a large object collided with Earth more than 4 billion years ago. This giant impact hypothesis states that a Mars-sized body struck Earth early in the history of the solar system. Before the impact, Earth was molten, or heated to an almost liquid state. The collision ejected chunks of Earth’s mantle into orbit around Earth. The debris eventually clumped together to form the moon, as shown in Figure 6.

Most of the ejected materials came from Earth’s silica-rich mantle rather than from Earth’s dense, metallic core. This hypothesis explains why moon rocks share many of the chemical characteristics of Earth’s mantle. As the material clumped together, it continued to revolve around Earth because of Earth’s gravitational pull.
A second makes a world of difference

By Bill Scanlon
Rocky Mountain News Staff Writer

BOULDER — At 5 p.m. New Year’s Eve, a second will be added to the atomic clock here to slow it into synch with Earth’s rotation.

Budget agreement or not, a physicist and computer programmer from the National Institute for Standards and Technology in Boulder will be on hand Sunday when the world’s clocks add a second.

Five o’clock here is midnight on the last day of the year, Greenwich, England, time. The official last minute of the year will be 61 seconds long.

Earth’s rotation is slowing ever so slightly every year. In addition, the measure of a second — 9.193 billion oscillations of the cesium atom — wasn’t quite precise when that became the official standard in 1967, said Judah Levine, physicist with NIST in Boulder.

So, every year or year-and-a-half, on Dec. 31 or June 30, the world’s most accurate clocks add a second — called a leap second.

“The Earth and the clock get out of synch. We live by the Earth, and the Earth doesn’t care one whit what the atom is doing,” said Don Sullivan, chief of the time and frequency division at NIST in Boulder.

Although the leap second is needed mostly to adjust to imperfections in the atomic clock, and only marginally to adjust to a decelerating Earth, those seconds add up over time. Scientists at Australia’s Adelaide University believe the Earth day was just 23 hours long at the time of the dinosaurs.

Levine and computer programmer Trudi Peppler are two of the handful of NIST employees working through the federal-government furlough. Chances are slim that anything would go wrong with the atomic clock if no one monitored it the next few days. There are batteries, generators and several backup clocks to keep things humming.

But, lo, what a catastrophe if the failsafe system failed:

- Navigators and geologists rely on the atomic clock for precise positioning. By measuring the time it takes a signal traveling at the speed of light to reach a satellite, an object’s exact location can be determined.
- Without the atomic clock, long-distance phone calls and other telecommunications that use bands of optic fiber would be slowed or garbled.
- If clocks are synchronized, we can get a lot more information through the noise,” Sullivan said.

Days have been getting longer. Geologists speculate that more than 600 million years ago a day was just 21 hours. Partly because the earth is rotating more slowly, the world’s time experts will add a “leap second” to the end of 1995.

Source: Adelaide University / National Institute of Standards & Technology

Graphic, Rocky Mountain News
A second makes a world of difference

By Bill Scandal
Today's Mountain News Staff Writer

BOULDER — At 5 p.m. New Year's Eve, a second will be added to the atomic clock here to slow it into sync with Earth's rotation.

Budget agreement or not, a physicist, and a computer programmer from the National Institute for Standards and Technology in Boulder will be on hand Sunday when the world's clocks add a second.

Five o'clock here is midnight on the last day of the year. Greenwich, England, time. The official last minute of the year will be 61 seconds long.

Earth's rotation is slowing ever so slightly every year. In addition, the measure of a second — 9192 million oscillations of the cesium atom — wasn't quite precise in that became the official standard in 1967, said Judah Levine, physicist with NIST in Boulder.

So, every year or year-and-a-half, on Dec. 31 or June 30, the world's most accurate clocks add a second — called a leap second.

"The Earth and the clock get out of sync. We live by the Earth, and the Earth doesn't care one what the atom is doing," said Don Sullivan, chief of the time and frequency division at NIST in Boulder.

Although the leap second is needed mostly to adjust for imperfections in the atomic clock, and only marginally to adjust to a decelerating Earth, those seconds add up over time. Scientists at Australia's Adelaide University believe the Earth day was just 23 hours long at the time of the dinosaurs.

Levine and computer programmer Traci Pepple are two of the handful of NIST employees working through the federal-government furlough. Chances are slim that anything would go wrong with the atomic clock if no one monitored it the next few days. There are batteries, generators and several backup clocks to keep things running.

But, lo, what a catastrophe if the failsafe system fails:

- Navigators and geologists rely on the atomic clock for precise positioning. By measuring the time it takes a signal traveling at the speed of light to reach a satellite, an object's exact location can be determined.
- Without the atomic clock, long-distance phone calls and other telecommunications that use bands of optic fiber would slow or garble.

"If clocks are synchronized, we can get a lot more information through the noise," Sullivan said.

Adapted From: http://www.enterprisemission.com/hyper3.html

This is how I model in front of the class
The Length of the Day: A Cosmological Perspective

Arbab I. Arbab

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum, P.O. 321, Khartoum 11115, Sudan and Department of Physics and Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Sciences and Computer, Omdurman Ahlia University, P.O. Box 786, Omdurman, Sudan

E-mail: atarbab@uofk.edu; arbab.ai@yahoo.com

We have found an empirical law for the variation of the length of the Earth’s day with geologic time employing Wells’s data. We attribute the lengthening of the Earth’s day to the present cosmic expansion of the Universe. The prediction of law has been found to be in agreement with the astronomical and geological data. The day increases at a present rate of 0.002 sec/century. The length of the day is found to be 6 hours when the Earth formed. We have also found a new limit for the value of the Hubble constant and the age of the Universe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>230</th>
<th>280</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>405</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>371.0</td>
<td>377.0</td>
<td>381.0</td>
<td>385.0</td>
<td>390.0</td>
<td>396.0</td>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>412.0</td>
<td>424.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time is measured in million years (m.y.) before present.

Table 1: Data obtained from fossil corals and radiometric time (Wells, 1963 [6]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>230</th>
<th>280</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>405</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>370.9</td>
<td>377.2</td>
<td>381.2</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>390.6</td>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>402.6</td>
<td>412.2</td>
<td>422.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of solar day (hr)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>715</th>
<th>850</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>3560</th>
<th>4500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>450.2</td>
<td>456.0</td>
<td>493.2</td>
<td>615.4</td>
<td>714.0</td>
<td>835.9</td>
<td>1009.5</td>
<td>1434.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of solar day (hr)</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time is measured in million years (m.y.) before present.

Table 2: Data obtained from our empirical law: equations (9) and (10).
The Length of the Day: A Cosmological Perspective

Arbab I. Arbab

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Khartoum, P.O. 321, Khartoum 11115, Sudan
and Department of Physics and Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Sciences and Computer,
Omdurman Ahlia University, P.O. Box 786, Omdurman, Sudan

E-mail: aiarbab@uork.edu, arbab.ai@yahoo.com

We have found an empirical law for the variation of the length of the Earth's day with
gMologic time employing Well's data. We attribute the lengthening of the Earth's day
to the present cosmic expansion of the Universe. The prediction of law has been found
to be in agreement with the astronomical and geological data. The day increases at a
present rate of 0.002 sec/century. The length of the day is found to be 6 hours when the
Earth formed. We have also found a new limit for the value of the Hubble constant and
the age of the Universe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>230</th>
<th>280</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>405</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>371.0</td>
<td>377.0</td>
<td>381.0</td>
<td>385.0</td>
<td>390.0</td>
<td>396.0</td>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>412.0</td>
<td>424.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time is measured in million years (m.y.) before present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>230</th>
<th>280</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>405</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>370.9</td>
<td>377.2</td>
<td>381.2</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>390.6</td>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>402.6</td>
<td>412.2</td>
<td>422.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of solar day (hr)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time*</th>
<th>715</th>
<th>850</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>3560</th>
<th>4500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solar days/year</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>450.2</td>
<td>456.0</td>
<td>493.2</td>
<td>615.4</td>
<td>714.0</td>
<td>835.9</td>
<td>1009.5</td>
<td>1434.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of solar day (hr)</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time is measured in million years (m.y.) before present.

Table 1: Data obtained from fossil corals and radiometric time (Wells, 1963 [6]).

Table 2: Data obtained from our empirical law: equations (9) and (10).
How do I handle various text types differently?

1 claim
1 surprise
1 question

- I model one
- They do one with a partner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet</th>
<th>Mass (Earth Masses)</th>
<th>Radius (Earth Radii)</th>
<th>Density gm/cm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluto</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted From: http://astronomy.nmsu.edu/tharriso/ast105/Ast105week06.html

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moon</th>
<th>Mass (Earth Masses)</th>
<th>Radius (Earth Radii)</th>
<th>Density gm/cm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Moon</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Layer structure of the inner terrestrial bodies

Adapted From: http://ircamera.as.arizona.edu/NatSci102/NatSci102/lectures/earth.htm

The interiors of the other terrestrial planets are similar to that of the earth, although Mars has cooled so far that its core is no longer molten. The composition of the moon is similar to that of the crust of the earth, and any formerly molten core has also cooled and solidified. In general, small bodies cool more quickly than large ones because there is less material around their cores to trap the heat of their formation. See picture below for the cross sections of the terrestrial inner bodies.

The core of the Moon comprises roughly 2-4% of the Moon’s total mass, while the core of the Earth comprises roughly 32% of the overall mass of the Earth.

Adapted From: http://www.enchantedlearning.com/subjects/astronomy/moon/Mooninside.shtml
Elemental abundances of Earth's layers and the Moon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Earth's Crust</th>
<th>Earth's Mantle</th>
<th>Earth's Core</th>
<th>Earth Overall</th>
<th>Moon Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (O)</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon (Si)</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (Mg)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium (Ti)</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted From

**Gravity - Mass Attracts Mass**

The general idea of gravity can be simplified into a few simple equations. The one most people know describes Newton’s universal law of gravitation:

\[ F = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^2} \]

where:
- \( F \) is the force due to gravity, between two masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), which are a distance \( r \) apart;
- \( G \) is the gravitational constant.

From this it is straightforward to derive another, common, gravity equation, that which gives the acceleration due to gravity, \( g \), here on the surface of the Earth:

\[ g = \frac{GM}{r^2} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet Name</th>
<th>Ratio of Planet Mass to Moon Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.0 (no moons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>0.0 (no moons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>0.000000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>0.00130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data obtained from various Wikipedia pages.
What skills do you want them to work on while reading?

- Needs of students
- PLT goals
- How they fit the text
**Fresh ESL group**

**CCSS.ELA.RST.9-10.1**
Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts
- Precise details

**CCSS.ELA.RST.9-10.2**
Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text
Provide an accurate summary of the text

**Junior Transitional**

Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts
- Gaps & Inconsistencies

Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text
Paraphrase in simpler but still accurate terms
Metacognitive Bookmark

**Predicting**
I predict...
In the next part I think...
I think this is...

**Visualizing**
I picture...
I can see...

**Questioning**
A question I have is...
I wonder about...
Could this mean...

**Making connections**
This is like...
This reminds me of...

**Identifying a problem**
I got confused when...
I’m not sure of...
I didn’t expect...

**Using fix-ups**
I’ll reread this part...
I’ll read on and check back...

**Summarizing**
The big idea is...
I think the point is...
So what it’s saying is...
Citing Evidence

In the text/article/passage/report it said ...
An example is ...
The author states that ...
The graphic/photograph/chart/table shows that ...
According to the text/article/passage/report ...
... This disproves ...
The text also cites ...
... This supports ...
In addition, that author also writes ...
... this does not support ...
On page/paragraph ... it states that ...
... This demonstrates ...
... this refutes ...
How are you going to get them to pick a side?

Each new text set

SUPPORT  REFUTE  INCONCLUSIVE

They need structure to make their arguments:
Having a Conversation

Clarifying
Could you give me your main idea in one sentence?
Is it your position that...
To be clear, you're saying that...
I'm confused when you say Z, Can you explain that again?

Paraphrasing
Put another way, you're saying...
So you're saying that...
Is it fair to say that you believe...
I hear you saying that...

Building On
Y mentioned that...
Yes—and furthermore...
The author's claim that Z is interesting because...
Adding to what X said....
If we change X's position just a little, we can see that....

Agreeing
I agree with Y because...
Z's point about X was important because...
The evidence for Z is overwhelming when you consider that...
X and I are coming from the same position.
Despite disagreeing about Y, I agree with Z that...

Disagreeing
I see it differently because...
The evidence I've seen suggests something different.
Some of that is fact, but some of it is opinion as well.
I agree that Y, but we also have to consider that...
We see Z differently.

Summarizing
Overall, what I'm trying to say is...
My whole point in one sentence is...
More than anything else, I believe that....
What are you going to have them do at the end?

Formative vs. Summative

Our Escaping Moon

by: Do-While Jones

One of the first things NASA did when the Apollo 11 astronauts reached the Moon was to set up a laser reflector that would allow scientists on Earth to measure the distance from the Earth to the Moon. Over the 12-year period from 1969 to 1981, scientists kept track of the distance to the Moon and found it to be increasing approximately 4 cm per year. This allows us to build a computer model that calculates how close the Moon would have been to the Earth in the past. The output from that model is shown in the graph.

Use the space below to tell whether this new piece of data supports, refutes, or is inconclusive to each of the four Moon Formation hypotheses. Give specific details from the text or the graph in your answer. You do not have to fill up the whole space.
Reflections - From Students

“It’s fun, when at the end you gonna find which one is right. You be interested. Mister, c’mon, tell us which one is right. We are so interested, c’mon sir, tell us.”

“Doing on our own is much better. If he told us collision is the right one. We could ask questions and be done. Otherwise, if we annotated and ask questions, and read the data. We have more ideas about the other theories. Now, I know. Maybe somebody gonna tell me someday the Moon come from spinning around. I can tell them, I read this and it’s wrong. This is much better for me.”

- Level 2 and 3 ESL students
Reflections - From Me

- Student created rubric for arguments
- More in depth modeling
  - Representing data better in models
- Conquering NGSS and CCSS
- Less “Right Answer” discussions
Long ago the Moon was a chunk of Earth that parted away into space.

Asteroids are hitting the Moon and Moon’s gravity keep the smashed asteroids and not letting them get away.
Big Moon

Crush!
The crust & mantle formed the Moon

Earth & the Moon have the same composition by 0.12-0.13
Earth's Early Years

Earth

Meteoroid

The moon was a meteoroid and it bounced back off Earth and got stuck in Earth's gravitational pull to become the Moon.

Present Day

Moon
Before

Dry length
6 hrs

Length of days

Afakiru

Dry rain
24 hrs
Size of moon

Jupiter's moon: 1.808 x 10^24 kg
Earth's moon: 5.97 x 10^24 kg

Earth

Moon
Questions & Discussion

What's the core of the core curriculum?